1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIAM THOMAS JONES,	No. 2: 20-cv-1866 KJM CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	PLACER COUNTY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On March 19, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
21	served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and	
22	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the	
23	findings and recommendations.	
24	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and	
25	recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to	
26	keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service	
27	of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.	
28	/////	
		1

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . . . "). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 19, 2021, are adopted in full; and
- 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See* Local Rule 183(b).

DATED: May 25, 2021.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG